

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/732,903	12/10/2003	Jose Antonio Pascual Herrero	FER-15138	6884	
7609 75	90 03/29/2005		EXAMINER		
	L, PORTER & CLAR	SAMPLE, DAVID R			
	VENUE, SUITE 700 OH 44115-1405		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1755		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	& \		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/732,903	PASCUAL HERRERO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Sample	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	et with the correspondence ac	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum owill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims	·		
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in ab tion is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received rity documents have b u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040715.	Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, the phrase "gres porcellanato" is not defined by the specification nor is it known to the ordinary skilled artisan. Therefore, the scope of the invention sought to be patented cannot be determined, and the claim is indefinite.

The term "conventional" in claim 12 renders the claim indefinite because the term changes over time, and the scope of the invention sought to be patented cannot be determined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nigrin (US 4,282,035).

Art Unit: 1755

Examples 1-11 and 14-25 of Nigrin anticipate the glass frit and glaze composition recited in claims 1 and 4. See Table 1, col. 5.

Examples 1, 3, 14, 16, 19, 20, 22, 23, and 25 of Nigrin anticipate the glass frit and glaze composition recited in claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 in view of the latitude in interpreting a range preceded by the word 'about'. <u>Id.</u>

Claims 1, 3 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beals et al. (US 2,918,384).

Example 9 of Beals et al. anticipates the glaze and frit compositions recited in claims 1, 4, and 7. See Table II, col. 5.

Beals et al. discloses applying the frits to ceramic materials such as wall tile, and firing at 950-1200°C. See col. 2, lines 11-15.

Claims 1, 4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bertocchi et al. (US 6,174,608).

Frit A of Bertocchi et al. anticipates the glass frit/glaze compositions recited in claims 1, 4, and 7. See Col. 5, lines 34-40.

The glaze composition is applied to a ceramic and fired at 900 to 1250°C. See col. 3, lines 29-56.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bertocchi et al. (US 6,174,608) or, in the alternative, Beals et al. (US 2,918,384) in view of knowledge of the ordinary skilled artisan.

As noted above, Bertocchi et al. and Beals et al. disclose glazes for architectural uses.

The references fail to disclose applying an ink to the surface of the glaze and firing to develop color during firing. However, such processes are notoriously well known in the art to form decorative tiles.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied an ink composition to the glaze of Bertocchi et al. or Beals et al. as known by one of ordinary skill in the art because one can decorate the resultant tile.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art fails to disclose a method of forming a protective glaze on an architectural tile by applying the claimed glaze composition which further contains Cr³⁺ and BaO to form a glaze having a yellow coloration.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Sample whose telephone number is (571)272-1376. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on (572)272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> David Sample **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1755